# Evaluation of Antimicrobial Efficacy Against E. coli Isolates of Different Serogroups Obtained from Diarrhoeic Neonates of Calves, Kids, and Lambs

# R. Pachaury<sup>a,\*</sup> & A. K. Kataria<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Animal Husbandry, Ajmer, Rajasthan, India <sup>b</sup>Department of Veterinary Microbiology and Biotechnology, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, Rajasthan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences Bikaner -334 001 (Rajasthan), India (Received 29-06-2012; Reviewed 28-10-2012; Accepted 22-11-2012)

#### **ABSTRACT**

Fourteen antimicrobials were evaluated their efficacy against 115 Escherichia coli isolates recovered from faecal samples collected from neonatal diarrhoeic calves (66), kids (25) and lambs (24). Of these isolates 37 were nontypeable, four were of rough type and 74 isolates were typeable for 'O' antigen belonging to 19 different serogroups (O2, O3, O5, O17, O21, O22, O25, O41, O45, O55, O60, O65, O70, O76, O114, O116, O147, O152, and O158). Evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy by disc diffusion method revealed that the most effective antibiotics were ciprofloxacin, sparfloxacin and triple sulpha followed by co-trimoxazole, chloramphenicol, nitrofurantoin, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, polymixin-B and amoxyclav in decreasing order of their efficacy while ampicillin and cephotaxime were found totally ineffective against these isolates. All the isolates showed multiple drug resistance to various antibiotics ranging from two to ten antibiotics. The resistance patterns were independent of serogroups of isolates and the animal species from which isolates were obtained. However, there was a variation in resistance pattern for same antibiotic vis-a vis locations of their isolation.

Key words: antibiogram, E. coli, diarrhoeic faeces, animal neonates, efficacy

# **ABSTRAK**

Empat belas antimikroba dalam penelitian ini dievaluasi efikasinya terhadap 115 Escherichia coli yang diisolasi dari sampel feses pedet (66), anak kambing (25), dan anak domba (24) neonatal yang mengalami diare. Berdasarkan isolat yang dihasilkan, 37 merupakan isolat tak bertipe, 4 isolat tipe rough, dan 74 isolat tipe antigen 'O' yang memiliki 19 serogrup yang berbeda (O2, O3, O5, O17, O21, O22, O25, O41, O45, O55, O60, O65, O70, O76, O114, O116, O147, O152, and O158). Evaluasi efikasi antimikroba yang dilakukan dengan metode difusi cakram menunjukkan bahwa antibiotik yang paling efektif adalah ciprofloxacin, sparfloxacin, dan triple sulpha yang diikuti secara berturutturut oleh co-trimoxazole, chloramphenicol, nitrofurantoin, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, polymixin-B dan amoxyclav, sedangkan ampicillin dan cephotaxime tidak efektif terhadap isolat-isolat tersebut. Seluruh isolat menunjukkan multiresisten terhadap dua hingga 10 antibiotik. Pola resistensi tergantung kepada serogrup dan spesies ternak asal isolat. Namun demikian terdapat suatu pola resistensi untuk antibiotik yang sama dengan lokasi isolasinya.

Kata kunci: antibilogram, E. coli, feses diare, ternak baru lahir, efikasi

## INTRODUCTION

Neonatal diarrhoea is one of the major causes of mortality in young calves, lambs and kids resulting in economic loss to dairy industry. Out of many diverse causes, Escherichia coli is recorded as the major etiological agent of diarrhoea in young ruminants. The indiscriminate use of antibmicrobials in treating such infections has led to emergence of multi-drug resistant strains which are difficult to be controlled in future outbreaks. This situation needs either new therapeutic agent with novel mechanisms of action to circumvent these infections or the most effective antibiotics among the available lot be used after testing against the organisms. There is increased public and scientific interest regarding the administration of antimicrobials to animals due primarily to zoonotic bacterial pathogens (White & McDermott, 2001). The emergence of antibacterial resis-

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: pachaury.ruchi@gmail.com

PACHAURY & KATARIA Media Peternakan

tance among pathogens that affect animal health is of growing concern in veterinary medicine as these resistant pathogens in animals may lead to potential health risk for humans (Medina *et al.*, 2011). This is why the monitoring of antimicrobial susceptibility in pathogenic bacteria and in commensals in animals is also recommended by OIE (Acar & Rostel, 2001). Serotyping is a common method used for the characterization of clinical isolates of *E. coli* and has a broad use in epidemiology and also in medical diagnosis. The existing association between serotype and pathotype makes this method a valuable tool for typing *E. coli* (Ramteke & Tewari, 2007).

The present paper puts on record *in vitro* testing of 14 antibiotics against *E. coli* isolates obtained from cattle calves, goat kids and lambs in order to record the antibiogram and to see any relationship between antibiogram and serogroup they belong to.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

One hundred and fifteen *E. coli* isolates obtained from diarrhoeic faecal samples from calves (66), kids (25) and lambs (24) belonging to organised and unorganised dairy farm at seven different locations in and around Bikaner city located in the Thar desert of west Rajasthan state were identified as per procedures described by Cowan & Steel (1975) and Quinn *et al.* (1994).

The antibiogram for the isolates was determined by disc diffusion method as per technique of Bauer *et al.* (1966). In brief, biochemically confirmed *E. coli* isolates were grown in Muller-Hinton broth for 6 h at 37 °C. Turbidity was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland standard and Muller-Hinton agar plates were seeded with the cultures. Different antibiotic discs (Hi-Media Laboratories, Mumbai, India) were placed on the inoculated medium. Antibiotic sensitivity plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and zone of inhibition was recorded and compared as per manufacturers instructions for each antibiotic.

Serogrouping for "O" antigen of the isolates was obtained from National Salmonella and *E. coli* centre, Kasauli (H.P), India by agglutination reaction using standard 'O' antisera.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Diameter of zone of inhibition was measured and results were interpreted as sensitive, intermediate and resistant respectively as per the manufacturer's instructions (Table 1, Figure 1). In the present study the most of the antibiotics were effective in inhibiting the growth of *E. coli* and the resistance shown by these isolates towards antibiotics was comparatively less. Among the used antibiotics ciprofloxacin, sparfloxacin and triple-sulpha were recorded effective against all the isolates whereas ampicillin and cephotaxime were totally ineffective. The rest of the antibiotics showed a variable efficacy against these *E. coli* isolates.

The high sensitivity of *E. coli* for ciprofloxacin, sparfloxacin, triple sulpha, co-trimoxazole, chloramphenicol, gentamicin, nalidixic acid and nitrofurantoin indicated that they could be used to circumvent the *E. coli* infection in this area. This might be attributed to

the fact that these antibiotics have not been used with greater frequency in the treatment of enteric infection in Bikaner region. Similar to our findings, no resistance for ciprofloxacin and low resistance for gentamicin were reported by Read *et al.* (2004) against *E. coli* isolates.

Our observations towards ampicillin resistance were also similar to those of many other workers from various parts of the world viz. Cid et al. (1996) from Spain; Bradford et al. (1999) and Donalson et al. (2006) from USA; Khan et al.(2002) from India and Karczmarczyk et al. (2011) from Ireland. The resistance recorded to penicillin (ampicillin) in the present study might be due to  $\beta$ -lactamase production by the isolates. In addition, the indiscriminate use of antibiotics exerts a selection pressure which leads to development of drug resistance in the isolates.

When the antibiogram data were analysed *vis-a-vis* animal species we could not find any relationship between the isolates from a particular animal species and their susceptibility or resistance pattern towards a particular antibiotic. This finding indicated that the antibiotics in the area were used irrespective of the animal species and/ or there would have been cross-infection of the animal species by the same isolates as they are generally housed in close association. In addition to it, the human population also lives in the close proximity of animal population with very high frequency of human-animal interactions especially in a village set up in India. Hence the antibiogram pattern obtained may be because of use of antibiotics used in animal as well as human population in that particular area.

When the antibiogram data were analysed *visà-vis* location from where the samples were collected for isolation of *E. coli* it was recorded that there was a variation in resistance pattern of same antibiotic at different locations which might be due to frequency of

Table 1. Antibiogram pattern of *E. coli* isolated from neonatal diarrhoeic animals

Antibiogram disc	Concontra- tion (mcg/disc)	Percent		
		Sensi- tive	Interme- diate	Resis- tant
Ampicillin (A)	10	-	-	100
Amoxyclav (Ac)	30	36.52	63.47	-
Azithromycin (At)	15	46.95	1.73	51.3
Cephotaxime (Ce)	30	-	-	100
Chloramphenicol (C)	30	83.47	5.21	11.3
Chlortetracycline (Ct)	30	20	68.69	11.3
Ciprofloxacin (Cf)	5	100	-	-
Co-trimxazole (Co)	25	97.39	-	2.6
Gentamicin (G)	10	74.78	10.43	14.78
Nalidixic acid (Na)	30	73.91	-	26.08
Nitrofurantoin (Nf)	300	66.95	26.08	6.95
Polymixin B (Pb)	300	20.86	-	79.13
Sparfloxacin (Sc)	5	100	-	-
Triple-sulpha (S3)	300	100	-	-



Figure 1. Antibiogram plate showing zone of inhibition of respective antibiotic discs for Escherichia coli isolates of diarrhoeic neonates

antibiotic used at a particular location for treatment of enteric infections.

All the isolates showed multiple drug resistance to various antibiotics ranging from two to ten antibiotics. Karczmarczyk et al. (2011) demonstrated mobility of resistance genes using configuration assays with a representative selection of E. coli isolates and they found high molecular weight plasmids responsible for resistance to multiple antimicrobial compounds.

Seventy nine isolates were resistant to four or more antibiotics. Predominant resistance pattern was observed for ampicillin azithromycin, cephotaxime and polymixin-B. Out of 49 lamb and kid isolates, resistance to ampicillin, azithromycin, cephotaxime and polymixin-B (AAtCePb) was observed for 32 (65.30%) isolates. Resistance to ampicillin, azithromycin, cephotaxime and nalidixic acid (AAtCeNa) was observed for 28 (57.14%) while resistance to ampicillin, azithromycin, cephotaxime and gentamicin (AAtCeG) was observed for 15 (30.61%) isolates. Out of 66 calf isolates, resistance to ampicillin, cephotaxime and polymixin-B (ACePb) was observed for 59 (89.39%) isolates. Resistance for ampicillin, cephotaxime and gentamicin (ACeG) was observed for 14 (21.21%) isolates, resistance for ampicillin, cephotaxime and chloramphenicol (ACeC) was observed for 13(19.69%) isolates and resistance for ampicillin, cephotaxime and azithromycin (AAtCe) was shown by 10(15.15%) isolates.

Multiple drug resistance patterns obtained in present study could be compared with the findings of Bradford et al. (1999) and Khan et al. (2002). These workers revealed various combination of multiple antimicrobial drug resistance for the E.coli isolates. Studies on antimicrobial resistance of E.coli from different animal species showed an increase in the incidence of resistance over the years as a result of the wide spread use of antimicrobial drugs in animals (Cid et al., 1996), the problem further aggravated by the transfer of E. coli from livestock to poultry to human (Kapoor & Kulshreshtha,

In the present study of the total 115 E. coli isolates typed for 'O'antigen 37 (32.17%) were non-typeable, four (0.03%) were of rough type and 74 (64.43) were typeable into 19 different serogroups of which O22 was the most common with prevalence of 12.17%. Two serogroups (O5 and O147) were common to all the three animal species, lambs and kids harboured only one common serogroup (O55) and lambs and calves shared three common serogroups (O22, O25, O116) while four serogroups (O17, O60, O76 and O152) were common to calves and kids. The antibiogram was analysed against the serofroups of the isolates but no relationship was recorded between them.

### **CONCLUSION**

The highest rate of resistance has been detected against the antimicrobial drugs most commonly used either as feed additives or as curative agents in farm animals.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to Dean, College of Veterinary and Animal Science, Rajasthan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Bikaner-334 00, India for the facilities provided to carry out this work and to the Director, National Salmonella and Escherichia coli Centre, Kasauli (H.P) for carrying out the serogrouping of E. coli isolates.

## REFERENCES

Acar, J. & B. Rostel. 2001. Antimicrobial resistance: an overview. Rev. Sci. Technol. 20: 797-810.

Bauer, A. W., W. M. M. Kirby, J. C. Sherris, & M. Turck. 1966. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 45: 493-496.

Bradford, P. A., P. J. Petersen, I. M. Fingerman, & D. G. White. 1999. Characterization of expanded-spectrum cephalosporin resistance in E.coli isolates associated with bovine calf diarrheal disease. J. Antimicrob. Chemothera. 44: 607-610. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/44.5.607

Cid, D., S. Piriz, J. A. Riuz-Santa-Quiteria, J. Valle, S. Vadillo, & de la R. Fuente. 1996. In vitro susceptibility of Escherichia coli strains isolated from diarrhoeic lambs and goat kids to 14 antimicrobial agents. J. Vet. Pharmacol. Ther. 19: 397-401. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2885.1996.tb00070.x

Cowan, S. T. & K. J. Steel. 1975. In: Manual for identification of medical bacteria. Cambridge University Press, pp. 1-217.

Donalson, S. C., B. A. Straley, N. V. Hegde, A. A. Sawant, C. DebRoy, & B. M. Jayarao. 2006. Molecular epidemiology of ceftiofur resistant Escherichia coli isolates from dairy calves. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72: 3940-3948. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02770-05

Karczmarczyk, M., C. Walsh, R. Slowey, N. Leonard, & S. Fanning. 2011. Molecular characterisisation of multidrugresistance E. coli isolated from Irish cattle farms. App. Env. Microbiol. 77:7121-7127. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/ AEM.00601-11

Kapoor, K. N. & S. B. Kulshreshtha. 1994. Multiple drug resistance of *E.coli* isolates from diarrhoel patients in relation to enterotoxin production. Ind. Vet. Med. J. 18: 18-22.

Khan, A., S. Yamasaki, T. Sato, T. Ramamurthy, A. Pal, S. Datta, N. R. Chowdhury, S. C. Das, A. Sikdar, T. Tsukamoto, S. K. Bhattacharya, Y. Takeda, & G. B. Nair. 2002. Prevalence and genetic profiling of virulence determinants of non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli isolated from cattle, beef and humans, Calcutta, India. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 8: 54-62. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid0801.010104

Medina, A., P. Horcajo, S. Jurado, de la R. Fuente, J. A. Ruiz-

PACHAURY & KATARIA Media Peternakan

Santa-Quiteria, G. Dominguez-Bernal, & J. A. Orden. 2011. Phenotypic and genotypic characterisation of antimicrobial resistance in enterohaemorrhaigc Escherichia coli and atypical enterophthogenic E. coli stains from ruminants. J. Vet Diagn Invest. 23: 91-95. http://dx.doi.org/10.1 177/104063871102300114

- Quinn, P. J., M. E. Carter, B. K. Markey, & G. R. Carter. 1994. In: Clinical Veterinary Microbiology. Wolfe Publishing, Mosby-Year Book Europe Ltd. Lynton House, 7-12. Tavistock Square, London WCH 9LB, England.
- Ramteke, P. W. & S. Tewari. 2007. Serogroups of Escherichia coli

- from drinking water. Environ. Monit. Assess. 130: 215-220. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10661-006-9390-7
- Read, R. R., D. W. Morck, K. B. Laupland, T. A. McAllister, G. D. Inglis, M. E. Olsen, & L. J. Yanke. 2004. Investigation of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria isolated from beef cattle and potential transmission to humans. The Canada-Alberta Beef Industry Development Research Fund Project 98AB272.
- White, D. G. & P. F. McDermott. 2001. Emergence and transfer of antibacterial resistance. J. Dairy sci. 84 (E. Suppl): E151-