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#### Abstract

Representation which generates the observed process of a hidden Markov model is not unique. The simplest one, that is, the one with minimum size is called a true parameter. This article is aimed to present characteristics of this parameter. Key words: Hidden Markov, representations, true parameter.


## 1. Introduction

According to [3], representation for a hidden Markov model is not unique. Our main interest is to find the simplest one, that is, the one with minimum size. Such representation will be called a true parameter. Our task is to identify a true parameter and its size. Therefore, the main aim of this article is to collect facts concerning the true parameter.

For this purpose, we begin with definition of a hidden Markov model, representations and equivalent representations in the first section. The second section will present definion of a true parameter of a hidden Markov model and its characteristics.

## 2. A hidden Markov model and its Representations

Let $\left\{X_{t}: t \in \boldsymbol{N}\right\}$ be a finite state Markov chain defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Suppose that $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ is not observed directly, but rather there is an observation process $\left\{Y_{t}: t \in \boldsymbol{N}\right\}$ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. Then consequently, the Markov chain is said to be hidden in the observations. A pair of stochastic processes $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right): t \in N\right\}$ is called a hidden Markov model. Precisely, according to [1], a hidden Markov model is formally defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. A pair of discrete time stochastic processes $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right.$ : $t \in \boldsymbol{N}\}$ defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ and taking values in a set $\boldsymbol{S} \times \mathcal{Y}$, is said to be a hidden Markov model (HMM), if it satisfies the following conditions.

1. $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ is a finite state Markov chain.
2. Given $\left\{X_{t}\right\},\left\{Y_{t}\right\}$ is a sequence of conditionally independent random variables.
3. The conditional distribution of $Y_{n}$ depends on $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ only through $X_{n}$.
4. The conditional distribution of $Y_{t}$ given $X_{t}$ does not depend on $t$. Assume that the Markov chain $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ is not observable. The cardinality $K$ of $\boldsymbol{S}$, will be called the size of the hidden Markov model.

Since the Markov chain $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ in a hidden Markov model $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ is not observable, then inference concerning the hidden Markov model has to be based on the information of $\left\{Y_{t}\right\}$ alone. By knowing the finite dimensional joint distributions of $\left\{Y_{t}\right\}$, parameters which characterize the hidden Markov model can then be analysed.

From [3], it can be seen that the law of the hidden Markov model $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ is completely specified by :
(a). The size $K$.
(b). The transition probability matrix $A=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$, satisfying

$$
\alpha_{i j} \geq 0 \quad, \sum_{j=1}^{K} \alpha_{i j}=1, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, K
$$

(c). The initial probability distribution $\pi=\left(\pi_{i}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\pi_{i} \geq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, K, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{K} \pi_{i}=1
$$

(d). The vector $\theta=\left(\theta_{i}\right)^{T}, \theta_{i} \in \Theta, i=1, \ldots, K$, which desribes the conditional
densities of $Y_{t}$ given $X_{t}=i, i=1, \ldots, K$.
Definition 2.2. Let

$$
\phi=(K, A, \pi, \theta) .
$$

The parameter $\phi$ is called a representation of the hidden Markov model
$\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$.

Thus, the hidden Markov model $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ can be represented by a representation $\phi=(K, A, \pi, \theta)$.

On the otherhand, we can also generate a hidden Markov model $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ from a representation $\phi=(K, A, \pi, \theta)$, by choosing a Markov
chain $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ which takes values on $\{1, \ldots, K\}$ and its law is determined by the $K \times K$-transition probability matrix $A$ and the initial probability $\pi$, and an observation process $\left\{Y_{t}\right\}$ taking values on $\mathcal{Y}$, where the density functions of $Y_{t}$ given $X_{t}=i, i=1, \ldots, K$ are determined by $\theta$.

Let $\phi=(K, A, \pi, \theta)$ and $\widehat{\phi}=(\widehat{K}, \widehat{A}, \widehat{\pi}, \widehat{\theta})$ be two representations which respectively generate hidden Markov models $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(\widehat{X}_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$. The $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ takes values on $\{1, \ldots, K\} \times \mathcal{Y}$ and $\left\{\left(\widehat{X}_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ takes values on $\{1, \ldots, \widehat{K}\} \times \mathcal{Y}$. For any $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$, let $p_{\phi}(\cdot, \cdots, \cdot)$ and $p_{\hat{\phi}}(\cdot, \cdots, \cdot)$ be the $n$-dimensional joint density function of $Y_{1}, \ldots Y_{n}$ with respect to $\phi$ and $\widehat{\phi}$. Suppose that for every $n \in N$,

$$
p_{\phi}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)=p_{\widehat{\phi}}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)
$$

Then $\left\{Y_{t}\right\}$ has the same law under $\phi$ and $\widehat{\phi}$. Since in hidden Markov models $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(\widehat{X}_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$, the Markov chains $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ and $\left\{\widehat{X}_{t}\right\}$ are not observable and we only observed the values of $\left\{Y_{t}\right\}$, then theoretically, the hidden Markov models $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(\widehat{X}_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ are indistinguishable. In this case, it is said that $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(\widehat{X}_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ are equivalent. The representations $\phi$ and $\widehat{\phi}$ are also said to be equivalent, and will be denoted as $\phi \sim \widehat{\phi}$.

For each $K \in \boldsymbol{N}$, define

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{K}=\{\phi: \phi & =(K, A, \pi, \theta), \text { where } A, \pi \text { and } \theta \text { satisfy : } \\
A & =\left(\alpha_{i j}\right), \quad \alpha_{i j} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{K} \alpha_{i j}=1, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, K \\
\pi & =\left(\pi_{i}\right), \quad \pi_{i} \geq 0, \quad i=1, \ldots, K, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{K} \pi_{i}=1 \\
\theta & \left.=\left(\theta_{i}\right)^{T}, \quad \theta_{i} \in \Theta, \quad i=1, \ldots, K\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\bigcup_{K \in \boldsymbol{N}} \phi_{K} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation $\sim$ is now defined on $\Phi$ as follows.
Definition 2.3. Let $\phi, \widehat{\phi} \in \Phi$. Representations $\phi$ and $\widehat{\phi}$ are said to be equivalent, denoted as

$$
\phi \sim \widehat{\phi}
$$

if and only if for every $n \in \boldsymbol{N}$,

$$
p_{\phi}\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)=p_{\hat{\phi}}\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)
$$

Remarks 2.4. It is clear that relation $\sim$ forms an equivalence relation on $\Phi$.

Let $\phi=(K, A, \pi, \theta) \in \Phi_{K}$, then under $\phi, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$, for any $n$, has joint density

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\phi}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\sum_{x_{1}=1}^{K} \cdots \sum_{x_{n}=1}^{K} \pi_{x_{1}} f\left(y_{1}, \theta_{x_{1}}\right) \cdot \prod_{t=2}^{n} \alpha_{x_{t-1}, x_{t}} f\left(y_{t}, \theta_{x_{t}}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\sigma$ be any permutation of $\{1,2, \ldots, K\}$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(A) & =\left(\alpha_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)}\right) \\
\sigma(\pi) & =\left(\pi_{\sigma(i)}\right) \\
\sigma(\theta) & =\left(\theta_{\sigma(i)}\right)^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\sigma(\phi)=(K, \sigma(A), \sigma(\pi), \sigma(\theta)),
$$

then $\sigma(\phi) \in \Phi_{K}$ and easy to see from (2.3) that

$$
p_{\phi}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=p_{\sigma(\phi)}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) .
$$

implying $\phi \sim \sigma(\phi)$. So we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\phi \in \Phi_{K}$, then for every permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, K\}$,

$$
\sigma(\phi) \sim \phi
$$

from [3], we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\phi=(K, A, \pi, \theta) \in \Phi_{K}$, where $\pi$ is a stationary probability distribution of $A$. Let $N$ be the number of non-zero $\pi_{i}$. Then there is $\widehat{\phi}=(N, \widehat{A}, \widehat{\pi}, \widehat{\theta}) \in \Phi_{N}$, such that :

1. $\widehat{\pi}_{i}>0$, for $i=1, \ldots, N$.
2. $\widehat{\pi}$ is a stationary probability distribution of $\widehat{A}$.
3. $\phi \sim \widehat{\phi}$.

Lemma 2.7. For any $K \in \boldsymbol{N}$ and $\phi \in \Phi_{K}$, there is $\widehat{\phi} \in \Phi_{K+1}$, such that $\phi \sim \widehat{\phi}$.

By Lemma 2.7, we can define an order $\prec$ in $\left\{\Phi_{K}\right\}$.

Definition 2.8. Define an order $\prec$ on $\left\{\Phi_{K}\right\}$ by

$$
\Phi_{K} \prec \Phi_{L}, \quad K, L \in \boldsymbol{N},
$$

if and only if for every $\phi \in \Phi_{K}$, there is $\widehat{\phi} \in \Phi_{L}$ such that $\phi \sim \widehat{\phi}$.

As a consequence of Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.9 follows.
Lemma 2.9. For every $K \in \boldsymbol{N}$,

$$
\Phi_{K} \prec \Phi_{K+1} .
$$

From Lemma 2.9, the families of hidden Markov models represented by $\left\{\Phi_{K}\right\}$ are nested families.

## 3. A true parameter and its Characteristics

We begin this section with a formal definition of a true parameter.
Definition 3.1. Let $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ be a hidden Markov model with representation $\phi \in \Phi$. A representation $\phi^{o}=\left(K^{o}, A^{o}, \pi^{o}, \theta^{o}\right) \in \Phi$, is called a $\boldsymbol{a}$ true parameter of the hidden Markov model $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ if and only if

1. $\phi^{o} \sim \phi$.
2. $K^{o}$ is minimum, that is, there is no $\widehat{\phi} \in \Phi_{K}$, with $K<K^{o}$, such that $\widehat{\phi} \sim \phi^{o}$.

A true parameter $\phi^{o}=\left(K^{o}, A^{o}, \pi^{o}, \theta^{o}\right)$ of a hidden Markov model $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$ is not unique, by Lemma 2.5, for every permutation $\sigma$ of $\left\{1, \ldots, K^{o}\right\}$,

$$
\sigma\left(\phi^{o}\right) \sim \phi^{o}
$$

So $\sigma\left(\phi^{o}\right)$ is also a true parameter of the hidden Markov model $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$.
As a straight consequence of Definition 3.1, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\phi^{o}=\left(K^{o}, A^{o}, \pi^{o}, \theta^{o}\right)$ be a true parameter of a hidden Markov model $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$. Then there is no $\phi \in \Phi_{K}$, with $K<K^{o}$ such that $\phi \sim \phi^{o}$.

The next two lemmas show some properties of true parameter which generates a stationary hidden Markov model.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\phi^{o}=\left(K^{o}, A^{o}, \pi^{o}, \theta^{o}\right)$ be a true parameter of a hidden Markov model $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$. If $\pi^{o}$ is a stationary probability distribution of $A^{o}$, then

$$
\pi_{i}^{o}>0, \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, K^{o}
$$

## Proof :

Let $N^{o}$ be the number of non-zero $\pi_{i}^{o}$ 's, then $1 \leq N^{o} \leq K$. If $N^{o}<K^{o}$, then by Lemma 2.6, there is $\phi=\left(N^{o}, A, \pi, \theta\right) \in \Phi_{N^{o}}$, such that $\phi \sim \phi^{o}$, contradicting with Lemma 3.2. Thus, it must be $N^{o}=K^{o}$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $\phi^{o}=\left(K^{o}, A^{o}, \pi^{o}, \theta^{o}\right)$ be a true parameter of a hidden Markov model $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$, where $\pi^{o}$ is a stationary probability distribution of $A^{o}$. Let $\phi=(K, A, \pi, \theta) \in \Phi_{K}$, where $\phi \sim \phi^{o}$ and $N$ be the number of non-zero $\pi_{i}$.

1. If $K=K^{o}$, then $N=K^{o}$.
2. If $K>K^{o}$, then $N \geq K^{o}$.

## Proof :

Let $\phi=(K, A, \pi, \theta) \in \Phi_{K}$, where $\phi \sim \phi^{o}$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$
K \geq K^{o} .
$$

Let $N$ be the number of non-zero $\pi_{i}$, then

$$
1 \leq N \leq K
$$

Suppose that $N<K^{o}$, since $\phi \sim \phi^{o}$, then $\pi$ is a stationary probability distribution of $A$. By Lemma 2.6, there is $\widehat{\phi}=(N, \widehat{A}, \widehat{\pi}, \widehat{\theta}) \in \Phi_{N}$, such that $\phi \sim \widehat{\phi}$, implying $\widehat{\phi} \sim \phi^{o}$, contradicting with Lemma 3.2. Thus, it must be

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{o} \leq N \leq K \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $K=K^{o}$, then by (3.1), $N=K^{o}$. If $K>K^{o}$, then $N \geq K^{o}$.
Corollary 1. let $\phi^{o}=\left(K^{o}, A^{o}, \pi^{o}, \theta^{o}\right)$ be a true parameter of a hidden Markov model $\left\{\left(X_{t}, Y_{t}\right)\right\}$, where $\pi^{o}$ is a stationary probability distribution of $A^{o}$. Let $\phi=\left(K^{o}, A, \pi, \theta\right) \in \Phi_{K^{o}}$. If $\phi \sim \phi^{o}$, then

$$
\pi_{i}>0, \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, K^{o} .
$$

## Proof :

This is part (a) of Lemma 3.4.
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