

ISSN 2581-2416 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.29244/avl.5.3.55-56 https://journal.ipb.ac.id/index.php/arshivetlett

# Antimicrobial sensitivity of most commonly isolated bacteria from feline upper respiratory infection

Karen Lee Mei Fong<sup>1</sup>, Usamah Afiff<sup>2,\*</sup>, Safika<sup>2,\*\*</sup>, Titiek Sunartatie<sup>2\*\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student of Veterinary Professional Education Program, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, IPB University, Bogor <sup>2</sup>Division of Medical Microbiology, Department of Infectious Diseases and Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, IPB University, Bogor

ABSTRACT: In cats, upper respiratory tract infection (URI) can be associated with primary or secondary bacterial infection and is generally treated with antimicrobials. Antimicrobial use can be inappropriate, and overuse. There are no detailed protocols for treatment, such as those available for human treatment. This study aimed to determine the antimicrobial sensitivity of the most isolated bacteria in cats that infected with the URI. Isolation and identification of bacteria in samples of cats infected with the URI were then continued with the antibiotic sensitivity test for amoxicillin, doxycycline, tetracycline, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, and cefotaxime using the Kirby Bauer Agar Disc diffusion method. Based on the research results, the bacteria identified were Enterobacter spp., Streptococcus spp., Staphylococcus spp., Pseudomonas spp., Serratia spp., Yersinia spp., Micrococcus spp., Klebsiella spp., and Hafnia spp. Amoxicillin antibiotic resistance was found in four isolates of Staphylococcus spp. and two isolates resistant to cefotaxime. Amoxicillin and tetracycline antibiotic resistance were found in one isolate of Streptococcus spp., two isolates resistant to cefotaxime and two intermediate isolates to doxycycline. One isolate of Enterobacter spp. was resistant to amoxicillin, azithromycin and two isolates were resistant to cefotaxime. All tested isolates were sensitive to ciprofloxacin. Based on the antibiotic sensitivity test, most isolates were sensitive, but there were isolates resistant to antibiotics, especially amoxicillin and cefotaxime.

#### **Keywords:**

antibiotics resistant, bacteria, cat, upper respiratory tract infection.

## ■ INTRODUCTION

Respiratory infection are commonly found in cats presented to veterinary practices. Infection can occur in the upper-(URI) and lower respiratory tract (LRI). The isolated bacteria in feline URI are *Pasteurella* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp., *Bordetella* spp., and *Escherichia coli*. The use of antibiotics in the treatment of bacterial infections should be based on standards of clinical effectiveness, low toxicity and the least possible impact on the multi-resistant bacteria. Balancing the need for successful therapy and minimizing growth and dissemination of antimicrobial resistance in animals and human's bacteria (Weese *et al.* 2015). This study aimed to determine the antimicrobial sensitivity of the most isolated bacteria in cats that infected with the URI.

# ■ MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials used in this study were samples from the nasal cavity of the cat with respiratory disease, medium for isolation and identification of bacteria, and antibiotic disc for amoxicillin, doxycycline, tetracycline, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, and cefotaxime. Antibiotic sensitivity test were carried out using Kirby Bauer Agar Disc diffusion method. Interpretation data with Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute 2018 and few in Andrew (2009), Barry & Fuchs (1996), ADICQC (2017), and Direct (2015).

## ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Staphylococcus spp., Streptococcus spp., and Enterobacter spp, are the most isolated bacteria from feline upper respiratory infection (URI), hence only these three bacteria were used to performed the antimicrobial test. Tabel 1 & 2 shows antimicrobial sensitivity test of most commonly bacteria.

Table 1. Antimicrobial sensitivity test.

Bacteria	Average diameter of inhibition zone (mm)						
	Amx		A	Azi		Dox	
S. epid	26.0	R	31.5	S	22.5	S	
S. aureus	13.0	R	24.5	S	27.5	S	
S. aureus	6.0	R	18.5	S	25.5	S	
S. aureus	39.0	S	25.0	S	33.5	S	
S. aureus	9.0	R	15.5	S	28.0	S	
Strep spp.	30.0	S	29.5	S	28.0	S	
Strep spp.	40.0	S	23.5	S	27.5	I	
Strep spp.	8.0	R	18.0	S	26.5	I	
Strep spp.	39.0	S	20.5	S	30.0	S	
Entero spp.	8.5	R	20.5	S	29.0	S	
Entero spp.	25.0	S	11.0	R	24.5	S	
Entero spp.	24.0	S	25.5	S	25.5	S	
Entero spp.	20.5	S	21.5	S	31.0	S	
	S. epid S. aureus S. aureus S. aureus S. aureus S. aureus Strep spp. Strep spp. Strep spp. Entero spp. Entero spp. Entero spp. Entero spp. Entero spp. Entero spp.	Bacteria         Amx           S. epid         26.0           S. aureus         13.0           S. aureus         6.0           S. aureus         39.0           S. aureus         9.0           Strep spp.         30.0           Strep spp.         40.0           Strep spp.         8.0           Strep spp.         39.0           Entero spp.         8.5           Entero spp.         25.0           Entero spp.         24.0           Entero spp.         20.5	Bacteria           Amx           S. epid         26.0 R           S. aureus         13.0 R           S. aureus         6.0 R           S. aureus         39.0 S           S. aureus         9.0 R           Strep spp.         30.0 S           Strep spp.         40.0 S           Strep spp.         8.0 R           Strep spp.         39.0 S           Entero spp.         8.5 R           Entero spp.         25.0 S           Entero spp.         24.0 S           Entero spp.         20.5 S	Bacteria         Amx         Az           S. epid         26.0 R         31.5           S. aureus         13.0 R         24.5           S. aureus         6.0 R         18.5           S. aureus         39.0 S         25.0           S. aureus         9.0 R         15.5           Strep spp.         30.0 S         29.5           Strep spp.         40.0 S         23.5           Strep spp.         8.0 R         18.0           Strep spp.         39.0 S         20.5           Entero spp.         8.5 R         20.5           Entero spp.         25.0 S         11.0           Entero spp.         24.0 S         25.5           Entero spp.         20.5 S         21.5	Bacteria         Amx         Azi           S. epid         26.0 R         31.5 S           S. aureus         13.0 R         24.5 S           S. aureus         6.0 R         18.5 S           S. aureus         39.0 S         25.0 S           S. aureus         9.0 R         15.5 S           Strep spp.         30.0 S         29.5 S           Strep spp.         40.0 S         23.5 S           Strep spp.         8.0 R         18.0 S           Strep spp.         39.0 S         20.5 S           Entero spp.         8.5 R         20.5 S           Entero spp.         25.0 S         11.0 R           Entero spp.         24.0 S         25.5 S           Entero spp.         20.5 S         21.5 S	Bacteria         Amx         Azi         Dox           S. epid         26.0 R         31.5 S         22.5           S. aureus         13.0 R         24.5 S         27.5           S. aureus         6.0 R         18.5 S         25.5           S. aureus         39.0 S         25.0 S         33.5           S. aureus         9.0 R         15.5 S         28.0           Strep spp.         30.0 S         29.5 S         28.0           Strep spp.         40.0 S         23.5 S         27.5           Strep spp.         8.0 R         18.0 S         26.5           Strep spp.         39.0 S         20.5 S         30.0           Entero spp.         8.5 R         20.5 S         29.0           Entero spp.         25.0 S         11.0 R         24.5           Entero spp.         24.0 S         25.5 S         25.5	

Note: Amx (Amoxicillin), Azi (Azithromycin), Dox (Doxycicline), R (Resistance), I (Intermediate), and S (Sensitive).

Received: 10-08-2021 | Revised: 25-08-2021 | Accepted: 27-08-2021

© 2021 CC-BY-SA. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution ShareAlike 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).



It can be seen from the Table 1, four isolates of Staphylococcus spp. were resistant to amoxicillin, and two isolates were resistant to cefotaxime. Resistance to amoxicillin and tetracycline was discovered in one isolate of Streptococcus spp., as well as resistance to cefotaxime in two isolates and two isolates were intermediate to doxycycline. One Enterobacter spp. isolate was resistant to amoxicillin and azithromycin, and two isolates were resistant to both.

Table 2. Antimicrobial sensitivity test (continued)

Code	Bacteria -	Average diameter of inhibition zone (mm)						
Code		Tet		Ctx		Cip		
1.2	S. epid	33.0	S	30.5	S	47.0	S	
6.2	S. aureus	30.5	S	33.0	S	29.5	S	
7.1	S. aureus	27.0	S	9.0	R	24.5	S	
7.2	S. aureus	35.0	S	36.5	S	28.0	S	
7.4	S. aureus	24.0	S	7.5	R	250.	S	
2.1	Strep spp	29.0	S	30.0	S	29.5	S	
3.3	Strep spp	29.0	S	25.0	R	30.0	S	
5.1	Strep spp	24.0	R	8.0	R	27.0	S	
10.1	Strep spp	30.5	S	30.5	S	29.5	S	
7.3	Entero spp	23.0	S	8.0	R	26.0	S	
8.3	Entero spp	30.0	S	11.5	R	27.5	S	
9.2	Entero spp	34.0	S	34.5	S	32.5	S	
10.2	Entero spp	33.5	S	32.0	S	32.5	S	

Note: Tet (Tetracycline), Ctx (Cefotaxime), Cip (Ciprofloxacin), R (Resistance), I (Intermediate), and S (Sensitive)

Amoxicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus may be due to the expression of the femX gene, which results in continuous repairing or abnormal thickening of cell walls, (Yao et al. 2019). Resistance in Streptococcus spp. is primarily caused by production of cytoplasmic proteins encoded by tet(M) (Foster 2014). Resistance to cefotaxime is frequently caused by the production of enzymes such as extended spectrum β-lactamases

Third-generation cephalosporins are likely to induce or select derepressed Enterobacter genetic variants of AmpC βlactamase, resulting in enzyme overproduction and resistance. Resistance to azithromycin is due to changing the binding site or target through ribosome component mutation and increasing efflux pump activity (Parnham et al. 2014).

#### CONCLUSION

This study provides information on the current antimicrobial resistance in URI bacteria from cat in Bogor, which may help to guide clinicians for the appropriate use of antimicrobials.

# ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Correspondence author

\*UA: uafiff60@gmail.com

\*\*SFK: fikakhan@yahoo.com

\*\*\*TST: titiek@apps.ipb.ac.id

Division of Medical Microbiology, Department of Infectious Diseases and Veterinary Public Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, IPB University. Jl. Agatis Kampus IPB Dramaga, Bogor, 16680, West Java INDONESIA

#### ■ REFERENCES

Andrews JM. 2009. BSAC standardized disc susceptibility testing method (version 8). Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy. 64(3):454-489.

[ADICQC] Antibiotic Disc Interpretative Criteria and Quality Control. 2017. Italy (IT): Liofilchem. [Internet]. [Accessed at 2021 Mar 1]. http://www.liofilchem.net/pdf/disc/disc interpretative table.pdf

Barry AL, Fuchs PC. 1996. Surrogate disks for predicting cefotaxime and ceftriaxone susceptibilities of Streptococcus pneumoniae. Journal of clinical microbiology. 34(10):2609-2612.

[CLSI] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2018. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 28th ed. Wayne (USA).

Direct RX. 2015. Amoxicillin- Amoxicillin Tablet, Film Coated Tablet. [Accessed at 2021 Mar 1]. https://dai-[Internet]. lymed.nlm.nih.gov/dailymed/fda/fdaDrugXsl.cfm?setid=9179bb1b-8554-4c00-a323-aac951ae2f55&type=display

Parnham MJ, Haber VE, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Perletti G, Verleden GM, Vos R. 2014. Azithromycin: mechanisms of action and their relevance for clinical applications. Pharmacology & therapeutics. 143(2):225-245.

Foster TJ. 2017. Antibiotic resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Current status and future prospects. FEMS microbiology reviews. 41(3):430-

Weese JS, Giguère S, Guardabassi L, Morley PS, Papich M, Ricciuto DR, Sykes JE. 2015. ACVIM consensus statement on therapeutic antimicrobial use in animals and antimicrobial resistance. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 29(2):487-498.

Yao Q, Gao L, Xu T, Chen Y, Yang X, Han M, He X, Li C, Zhou R, Yang Y. 2019. Amoxicillin administration regimen and resistance mechanisms of staphylococcus aureus established in tissue cage infection model. Frontiers in microbiology. 10:1638.